A (not so?) novel explanation for the very special initial state of the universe

Elias Okon

Instituto de Investigaciones Filosóficas, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México Circuito Maestro Mario de la Cueva s/n, Distrito Federal, 04510, Mexico E-mail: eokon@filosoficas.unam.mx

Daniel Sudarsky

Instituto de Ciencias Nucleares, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México Apartado Postal 70-543, Distrito Federal, 04510, México E-mail: sudarsky@nucleares.unam.mx

We put forward a proposal that combines objective collapse models, developed in connection with quantum-foundational questions, with the so-called Weyl curvature hypothesis, introduced by Roger Penrose as an attempt to account for the very special initial state of the universe. In particular, we explain how a curvature dependence of the collapse rate in such models, an idea already shown to help in the context of black holes and information loss, could also offer a *dynamical* justification for Penrose's conjecture.

Genesis 1:1-2 הַיְחָה תֹהוּ וְבֹהוּ. קִיְחָה תֹהוּ

1 Introduction

The second law of thermodynamics has often been a source of perplexity. The basic puzzle is how such a time-asymmetric law can emerge from fundamental laws of nature, such as those of general relativity and quantum theory, which are essentially¹ time-symmetric.

The most convincing solution, endorsed by Boltzmann, Einstein, Feynman, and Schrödinger, to name a few, is that the universe started in a state of extremely low entropy. Penrose conjectured in [1] that this, in turn, arises from a constraint on the initial state of the universe, prescribing that the Weyl curvature, as described, for instance, trough the scalar $W = \sqrt{|G^{abcdefgh}W_{abcd}W_{efgh}|}$ (where W_{abcd} is the Weyl tensor and $G^{abcdefgh}$ is a supermetric constructed with appropriate combinations of the spacetime metric), should vanish as the initial singularity is approached. Such constraint prevents, for instance, a universe filled with primordial white holes, but does not prevent the emergence of ordinary black holes as the universe evolves. Clearly,

 $^{^{1}}$ With the exception of the very small CP violation in the Electroweak interaction.

the law that Penrose is proposing is very different from standard physical laws, which govern the dynamics, rather than the initial conditions. The aim of this essay is to sketch a scenario in which Penrose's conjecture has a dynamical origin (Penrose has a different proposal for this in [2]).

It is convenient to clarify at the onset the context in which we frame our discussion. Most people are convinced that, underlying General Relativity, there must be a more fundamental theory of quantum gravity that will, among other things, "cure the singularities." The idea is that the classical characterization of spacetime is just an approximation, valid in a limited set of circumstances. In particular, the singularities exhibited by the former are expected to be replaced by a non-singular characterization, not susceptible to a description in the classical language (as an example of this within the Loop Quantum Gravity approach see [3]). Of course, these quantum gravity aspects are usually expected to be relevant only at the Planck scale, i.e., where the scalar curvatures R or W are of the order of the Plank scale (M_P^2 or M_P^4 respectively).

Before presenting the details of our proposal in section 5, we have some setting up to do. In section 2 we describe the semiclassical framework we employ, in section 3 we talk about dynamical reduction models and in section 4 we explain how these two ingredients come together. Finally, in section 6 we present our conclusions.

2 Effective Spacetime and semiclassical Einstein equations

Our proposal is framed in a context in which the full quantum gravity regime has been exited, so we will assume that classical notions of spacetime already make sense. However, we will also assume that such a regime allows for a quantum description of matter fields. Therefore, we will be working at the, so-called, semiclassical gravity regime, which, as we explained above, will be viewed as an approximate and effective description, valid in limited circumstances, of a more fundamental theory of quantum gravity. We see all this as analogous to a hydrodynamic description of fluids, which works well in many circumstances, but does not represent the behavior of the truly fundamental degrees of freedom (corresponding to molecules, atoms and, ultimately, electrons, quacks and gauge bosons). Therefore, just as the Navier-Stocks equation describing a fluid must break down, say, when an ocean wave breaks at the beach, we expect Einstein's semiclassical equations to break down in some situations, such as those associated with a collapse of the quantum state (in which the energy-momentum tensor is not conserved).

In order to explore these ideas in detail, we need some scheme to implement them formally. We consider an approach initially proposed in [4] in the context of the emergence of the primordial inhomogeneities in inflationary cosmology (see [5]). The staring point is the notion of a Semiclassical Self-consistent Configuration (SSC), defined, for the case of a single matter field, as follows:

Definition: The set $\{g_{ab}(x), \hat{\varphi}(x), \hat{\pi}(x), \mathcal{H}, |\xi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}\}$ is a SSC if and only if $\hat{\varphi}(x)$, $\hat{\pi}(x)$ and \mathcal{H} correspond a to quantum field theory for the field $\varphi(x)$, constructed over a spacetime with metric $g_{ab}(x)$, and the state $|\xi\rangle$ in \mathcal{H} is such that:

$$G_{ab}[g(x)] = 8\pi G\langle \xi | \hat{T}_{ab}[g(x), \hat{\varphi}(x)] | \xi \rangle, \qquad (1)$$

where $\langle \xi | \hat{T}_{\mu\nu}[g(x), \hat{\varphi}(x)] | \xi \rangle$ stands for the expectation value in the state $| \xi \rangle$ of the renormalized energy-momentum tensor of the quantum matter field $\hat{\varphi}(x)$, constructed with the spacetime metric g_{ab} .

This characterization is a natural description of the kind of semiclassical situations we described above and is, in a sense, a general relativistic generalization of the socalled Schrödinger-Newton system [6]. However, as argued in [7], one must be careful not to take this description as fundamental because such a position would lead either to an internal inconsistency or to a conflict between the theory and experiments. In particular, in situations where quantum mechanics would lead to a delocalization of a macroscopic mass source, either: i) one assumes that there is no collapse of the wave function, in which case the gravitational field observed does not correspond to the expectation value in equation (1); or ii) one assumes that there is a collapse of the wave function, in which case the equation simply would be inconsistent as the RHS would have a nonvanishing divergence (while Bianchi's identity ensures the divergencefree nature of the LHS). At any rate, as we will see below, the SSC formulation will allow for a self-consistent incorporation of collapses for the state of the quantum fields representing the matter sector. The basic idea is for different SSC characterizations to hold on the past and future of a collapse hypersurface and for them to be suitably joined at the collapse hypersurface. We will discuss this further in section 4.

3 Bringing in foundational aspects of quantum theory

Most discussions of the sort we are having here, do not include foundational questions and difficulties of quantum theory. Therefore, it might seem strange for us to do so. Of course, often, issues of that kind can be safely ignored. However, as John S. Bell clearly argued in [8], such a pragmatic attitude is not always acceptable, and in some contexts, like those pertaining to the interface of quantum theory and cosmology, is not even viable (see also [9, 10, 11]).

The text-book interpretation of quantum mechanics crucially depends on, either, the existence of observers external to the studied system or on some kind of artificial quantum/classical cut (see [12]). Therefore, if the system in question is the whole universe, such cuts or external observers are simply unavailable and the standard formalism becomes inapplicable. What we require in order to apply quantum theory in these scenarios is an observer independent interpretation of the theory. Promising approaches in this regard are Bohmian mechanics [13] and objective collapse models [14].² The latter, add stochastic and nonlinear terms to the dynamical equation of the standard theory in order to explain, in a unified way, both the behavior of micro-systems and the absence of superpositions at the macro-level.

In order to frame our proposal in terms of a concrete example, we offer a brief presentation of two of the simplest objective collapse models, known as GRW and CSL. The former, based on ideas first presented in[18] and introduced in [19], postulates a probability for each elementary particle to suffer, at random times distributed with mean frequency λ_0 , sudden localization processes around appropriate positions. Such localizations are then shown to quickly destroy superpositions of well-localized macroscopic states with centers separated by distances greater than the localization scale.

The mathematical framework of the Continuous Spontaneous Localization model (CSL), [17], is that of standard quantum mechanics with a *modified* quantum dynamical evolution, specified by two equations: i) a modified Schrödinger equation, whose solution is

$$|\psi, t\rangle_{w} = \hat{\mathcal{T}} e^{-\int_{0}^{t} dt' \left[i\hat{H} + \frac{1}{4\lambda_{0}} [w(t') - 2\lambda_{0}\hat{A}]^{2}\right]} |\psi, 0\rangle,$$
(2)

²Many World scenarios and the Consistent Histories approach also aim at constructing observer independent formalisms. However, they seem to be plagued, at least for now, by insurmountable problems (see e.g., [15, sec. 4] and [16]).

where $\hat{\mathcal{T}}$ is the time-ordering operator and w(t) is a random, white noise-type classical function of time, and ii) a probability rule for w(t) given by

$$PDw(t) \equiv {}_{w}\langle\psi, t|\psi, t\rangle_{w} \prod_{t_{i}=0}^{t} \frac{dw(t_{i})}{\sqrt{2\pi\lambda_{0}/dt}}.$$
(3)

The CSL dynamics guarantees that, in the long run, the state of the system will be an eigenvalue of \hat{A} . Therefore, *it unifies the standard Schrödinger evolution and the corresponding measurement of the observable* \hat{A} . For non-relativistic quantum mechanics one sets $\hat{A} = \hat{\vec{X}}$ with $\hat{\vec{X}}$ a suitably smeared version of the position operator.

Both within GRW and CSL, with a suitable value of λ_0 (see below), one can treat the whole system, including the measurement apparatus, quantum mechanically and make the correct predictions. Therefore, they successfully addresses the measurement problem. Regarding the value of λ_0 , it should be small enough in order to avoid conflict with tests of quantum mechanics in the domain of subatomic physics, and big enough in order to ensure a rapid localization of "macroscopic objects." Such constraints can be accomplished with the suggested value of $\lambda_0 \sim 10^{-16} sec^{-1}$. One of the drawbacks of the early versions of objective collapse models, such as [17, 19], is the issue of compatibility with relativity. Fortunately, there have been important recent advances in resolving this problem and, in fact, at least three relativistic versions have been proposed, [20, 21, 22].

In [11] we argued that objective collapse models offer an array of benefits regarding the resolution of long-standing problems in cosmology and quantum gravity. In particular, we explored applications of objective collapse theories to the origin of seeds of cosmic structure, the problem of time in quantum gravity and the information loss paradox. Regarding black holes, in [11, 23] we suggested that the information paradox could be dissolved by a dynamical reduction theory in which the collapse parameter increases with W, so as to account for all the information destruction required. For example, one could have

$$\lambda\left(W\right) = \lambda_0 \left[1 + \left(\frac{W}{\mu}\right)^{\gamma}\right] \tag{4}$$

with $\gamma \geq 1$ a constant and with μ providing an appropriate scale. The idea is that such a W-dependence of the collapse parameter would guaranty all of the information encoded in the initial quantum state of the matter fields to be rapidly erased as the black hole singularity is approached. There are a number of motivations behind equation (4). To begin with, collapse theories, as originally formulated, drive the state of the system to one of the eigenstates of \hat{A} only asymptotically, as time goes to infinity. However, as is well known, the black hole singularity will be reached in a *finite* proper time by any in-falling observer.³ Therefore, in order to deal with the black hole information issue, one requires most of the information entering the black hole horizon to be erased before the singularity is reached [11, 23]. What is needed, then, is the collapse parameter to increase without bounds as that region is approached. In our proposal, this is achieved by assuming that λ increases with W and, furthermore, that it diverges at a suitable rate when $W \to \infty$. This ensures that, in the black hole case, the modified collapse theory will achieve, in a finite proper time, what collapse theories, such as CSL, achieve in an infinite time.

Moreover, it is interesting to note that, regarding the non-gravitational context, studies of experimental bounds (see [24]) strongly suggest that a successful collapse theory would need the collapse parameter to depend on the mass m_i of a particle as $\lambda_i = \left(\frac{m_i}{m_N}\right)^2 \lambda_0$, with $m_n \sim 1 \text{GeV}$ the nucleon mass and λ_0 the standard value of the collapse parameter. The point is that this required mass-dependence might originate from a universal curvature-dependence of λ as that of equation (4). Finally, another hint for the need of the parameter λ to depend on the context, and perhaps do so trough the space-time curvature, was found in the analysis of the role of collapse theories in the emergence of the seeds of structure in the inflationary context. There it was observed that one requires a specific scale dependence of λ in order to generate a power spectrum of scalar perturbations which is compatible with observations (see [25]). We hope to study this last issue in more detail in the near future.

The explicit application of these modified collapse theories to the black hole information question, in the context of a two-dimensional model, was carried out in [26] (using a non-relativistic objective collapse model) and [27] (using a relativistic objective collapse model). In the latter, the model employed has a vanishing Weyl tensor so the λ dependence on W was replaced by an analogous dependence on R. In those works it is showed that, within this scheme, a complete evaporation of the black hole via Hawking radiation does not lead to a paradox.

³More generally, the proper time between Cauchy hypersurfaces is bounded in any foliation that starts at the formation of the black hole and asymptotically approaches the singularity.

4 Collapse and the semiclassical spacetime context

Next we consider the implementation of an objective collapse model within the SSC scheme. For simplicity, we will consider a model with discrete collapses, akin to GRW. In order to add spontaneous jumps to the unitary evolution of the quantum field, given an SSC we denote as SSC1 (the situation before the collapse), the theory must randomly select i) a spacelike hypersurface Σ_C , on which the collapse takes place, and ii) the collapsed quantum state. Then, we must construct a new SSC, which we call SSC2 (the situation after the collapse), and join the two SSC's in order to generate a "global spacetime."

Again, we should think of the above scheme in analogy to an effective description of a fluid where, "instantaneously," the Navier-Stokes equations do not hold. Consider once more an ocean wave breaking at the beach. Before the wave breaks, the situation is describable by Navier-Stokes equations, and the same is true well-after the brake, when the surface becomes sufficiently smooth. However, the breakdown of the wave is not susceptible to a fluid description. Now let us take the limit in which the duration of the intermediate, non-fluid, regime tends to zero. In that case, we will have two regimes that are susceptible to a fluid description, but which are joined at an *instantaneous* breaking of the wave, to be identified in the analogy with the spacelike hypersurface Σ_C , which joins SSC1 and SSC2.

The detailed procedure for the implementation of the gluing of SSC1 and SSC2 involves some subtleties. Suppose, for example, that we have an SSC1 and a (randomly selected) hypersurface Σ_C in which the next GRW-type collapse is supposed to occur. Then, the state on Σ_C will jump into a new state of the Hilbert space of SSC1. However, since the space-time of SSC2 will have a different metric, the corresponding quantum field theory construction will, in general, differ from that of SSC1 and, thus, would involve a new Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_2 \neq \mathcal{H}_1$. Furthermore, the condition provided by equation (1) is not enough in order to uniquely determine the space-time of SSC2.

All these issues have been resolved in [4] as follows: given SSC1, the dynamical collapse theory selects the hypersurface of collapse Σ_C and a *tentative* or *target* postcollapse state $|\chi^t\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_1$. As we will see below, such state will be used in order to determine $|\xi^{(2)}\rangle$, the actual state of SSC2. The SSC2 construction will be required to posses an hypersurface isometric to Σ_C , which will serve as the hypersurface where the two spacetimes will be joined. The requirement that the metrics induced on Σ_C from the two spacetime metrics $g_{ab}^{(1)}$ and $g_{ab}^{(2)}$ coincide can be then seen as analogous to the Israel matching conditions for infinitely thin time-like shells. The actual state of the SSC2 construction will then be an element $|\xi^{(2)}\rangle$ of \mathcal{H}_2 such that, on Σ_C , we have

$$\langle \chi^t | \hat{T}_{ab}^{(1)}[g(x), \hat{\varphi}(x)] | \chi^t \rangle = \langle \xi^{(2)} | \hat{T}_{ab}^{(2)}[g(x), \hat{\varphi}(x)] | \xi^{(2)} \rangle,$$
(5)

where $\hat{T}^{(1)}$ and $\hat{T}^{(2)}$ are the renormalized energy-momentum tensors of the two SSC constructions, which depend on the corresponding spacetime metrics and the corresponding field theory constructions.

In [4] we have shown how these two additional requirements serve to specify the construction of SSC2, and thus, that of the complete spacetime which results from the gluing of the two constructions. It is also clear that Einstein's equations hold within the region corresponding to each individual SSC but will not hold along the gluing or collapse hypersurface Σ_C .

Generalizing this to the case of a multiplicity of collapses is straightforward if the dynamical collapse theory specifies the multiple collapse hypersurfaces. The only issue that might need further consideration is the fact that, unlike in a conventional quantum field theory, in which $\langle \xi_{\Sigma} | \hat{T}_{ab}(x) | \xi_{\Sigma} \rangle$ is independent of the choice of hypersurface Σ , in collapse theories such an expression, which is crucial in defining an SSC, does depend on Σ . That is because collapses between two hypersurfaces (that coincide in x) may affect its value. An attractive way out, proposed in [28], is to specify that the hypersurface one must use in order to calculate $\langle \xi_{\Sigma} | \hat{T}_{ab}(x) | \xi_{\Sigma} \rangle$ is the past light cone of the point x. Finally, passing to a theory involving continuous collapses such as CSL would involve a limiting procedure which, at this point, we do not see as representing any particular difficulty in principle, although, clearly, any implementation of a concrete realization will represent a formidable task.

5 Collapse theories and the Weyl curvature conjecture

At last let us consider, within the general framework previously described, including the W-dependence of the collapse parameter such as the one given by equation (4), the situation corresponding to the very early universe. We restrict ourselves to a post-planckian regime, in which the metric already provides a good characterization of spacetime, and ordinary space-time concepts make sense.

Assume that this early universe is characterized by wildly varying, generically high, values of curvature W. Then, the collapse rate $\lambda(W)$ will be very large, and the evolution will be dominated by the stochastic part of the modified quantum theory (i.e., the non-standard term in equation (2), with λ_0 substituted by $\lambda(W)$). As a result, the evolution of the matter fields and, through equation (1), that of the geometry, will be extremely stochastic. This kind of evolution will continue until, by mere chance, the system obtains a small value of W. At such point, which can occur only when the spacetime is relatively smooth and the value of R is rather uniform, the evolution will settle into the Hamiltonian dominated regime (i.e., the standard part of equation (2)). That is, the evolution will settle into an almost purely-unitary regime only when the state of the matter fields is associated with an almost constant value of the density, a corresponding almost constant value of R and a very small value of W. Of course, such scenario, with an extremely small value of W, precisely corresponds to Penrose's Weyl curvature hypothesis. Therefore, our scheme provides a dynamical justification for what Penrose introduced as a constraint on initial conditions in order to account for the very special initial state of the universe.

At this point we might stop to notice that the objective collapse dynamics of, e.g., equations (2) and (3) is in fact time reversal *non*-invariant. In order to see this within CSL, we note that systems always evolve, into the future but not the past, towards eigenstates of the operator \hat{A} . However, the puzzle we started with, regarding the second law of thermodynamics, involved the fact that the laws of nature are timesymmetric. Why, then, should one go through all this trouble regarding the Weyl tensor? Well, as has been argued in [29], collapse theories are, in fact, capable to explain why entropy is overwhelmingly likely to increase toward the future, but no toward the past. However, as has been argued in [30], this does *not* mean that such theories remove the need to additionally postulate that the universe started in a state of extremely low entropy. That is because, it is one thing to explain why, given a present nonequilibrium state, later states should have higher entropy (without implying that earlier states must have higher entropy too), and yet another, to explain how the universe ever got to such a special nonequilibrium state in the first place. Our proposal, goes beyond that and offers a unified explanation of both these aspects; and its advantages do not end there.

After a small value of W is randomly achieved, the stochastic part of the evolution becomes sub-dominant and the universe finds itself in the appropriate conditions for the onset of inflation. That process further flattens the spatial geometry and leads to the standard inflationary story, which successfully accounts for the main features of our present universe. The role of the collapse then, in general, becomes very small, but does not disappear completely. The minimal, non-zero, value of $\lambda(W \approx 0) \approx \lambda_0$ causes the generation, during inflation, of the small departures from homogeneity and isotropy, unexplainable within the standard approach, that eventually evolve into largescale structure (see [5]). The collapse dynamics also continues to play the crucial role it was originally designed for, i.e., the suppression of "Schrödinger cat states," (those corresponding to superpositions of well-localized ordinary macroscopic objects). The regime where W is large is eventually reawakened in connection with the deep interior of black holes where again W becomes large. This, as was argued in [11, 23, 26], accounts for the non-paradoxical erasing of information in the Hawking evaporation of such objects.

6 Conclusions

We have shown that objective collapse theories with a *W*-dependence of the collapse parameter offer a succinct account for a conundrum associated with the generalized second law of thermodynamics (i.e., the version which includes contributions from black holes to the overall entropy of a system). In particular, we have explained how such models provide a dynamical justification for the very special initial state of the universe. There is, of course, much to be done in order to complete this proposal. Still, we find it very encouraging that, by taking seriously modifications of quantum theory proposed in connection to foundational problems, this and other open issues in physics seem to find a plausible resolution.

According to our proposal, the universe started in an arbitrary state, with a rather large value of the Weyl curvature. Then, due to the strong dependence of the quantity determining the collapse strength on W, the dynamics was necessarily extremely chaotic. Such a chaotic behavior remained prevalent until, just by chance, the universe landed in a state with a small value of W. From then on, the standard evolution, dominated by the Hamiltonian term, prevailed, leading to a standard inflationary/big bang cosmology. It is hard not to notice the *poetic* similarity between the above picture and the description of creation provided by the Book of Genesis: In the beginning... there was chaos. Perhaps, our idea is no so "novel" after all.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge partial financial support from DGAPA-UNAM project IG100316. DS was further supported by CONACyT project 101712 .

References

- R. Penrose, "Singularities and Time-Asymmetry," in S. W. Hawking; W. Israel. General Relativity: An Einstein Centenary Survey. Cambridge University Press. pp. 581–638 (1979).
- [2] R. Penrose, "Cycles of Time: An Extraordinary New View of the Universe," (Bodley Head, London, 2010) ISBN 9780224080361.
- [3] A. Ashtekar and M. Bojowald, "Quantum Geometry and the Schwarzschild Singularity," *Class. Quantum Grav.*23 (2006) 391–411, [gr-qc/0509075].
- [4] A. Diez-Tejedor and D. Sudarsky, "Towards a formal description of the collapse approach to the inflationary origin of the seeds of cosmic structure," JCAP 07(2012)045.
- [5] A. Perez, H. Sahlmman and D. Sudarsky, "On the Quantum Mechanical Origin of the Seeds of Cosmic Structure," Classical and Quantum Gravity 23, 2317 (2006); D. Sudarsky, "Shortcomings in the Understanding of Why Cosmological Perturbations Look Classical," International Journal of Modern Physics D 20, 509 (2011) [arXiv:0906.0315 [gr-qc]]; S. J. Landau, C. G. Scoccola and D. Sudarsky, "Cosmological constraints on nonstandard inflationary quantum collapse models," Physics Review D 85, 123001 (2012) [arXiv:1112.1830 [astro-ph.CO]]; G. León García, S. J. Landau and D. Sudarsky, "Quantum Origin of the Primordial Fluctuation Spectrum and its Statistics," Physics Review D 88, 023526 (2013) [arXiv:1107.3054 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [6] , L. Doisi, "Gravitation and quantum mechanical localization of macro objects," *Phys. Lett. A*, 16, 199, (1984).
- [7] D. N. Page & C. D. Geilker, "Indirect Evidence for Quantum Gravity," *Phys.Rev.Lett.* 47, 979, (1981).

- [8] J. Bell, "Quantum mechanics for cosmologists," in Quantum Gravity II, Oxford University Press, 1981.
- [9] J. B. Hartle, "Generalizing quantum mechanics for quantum gravity," Int. J. Theor. Phys., 45, 1390-1396 (2006).
- [10] D. Sudarsky, "Shortcomings in the Understanding of Why Cosmological Perturbations Look Classical," *International Journal of Modern Physics D* 20, 509, (2011); arXiv:0906.0315 [gr-qc].
- [11] E. Okon and D. Sudarsky, "Benefits of Objective Collapse Models for Cosmology and Quantum Gravity," Found. Phys. (2014) 44:114-143.
- [12] E. Wigner, Am. J. of Physics 31, 6 (1963); A. Lagget, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 69, 80 (1980); J. S. Bell, Phys.World 3, 33 (1990); D. Albert, Chapters 4 and 5, "Quantum Mechanics and Experience," Harvard University Press, (1992); D. Home, Chapter 2, "Conceptual Foundations of Quantum Physics: an overview from modern perspectives," Plenum, 1997; T. Maudlin, "Three measurement problems," Topoi 14(1), 715 (1995).
- [13] S. Goldstein, "Bohmian Mechanics," The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy (Spring 2013 Edition), Edward N. Zalta (ed.).
- [14] G. C. Ghirardi, "Collapse Theories," The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy (Winter 2011 Edition), Edward N. Zalta (ed.).
- [15] S. Saunders et al. (eds.), Many Worlds? Everett, Quantum Theory, and Reality, Oxford University Press (2010).
- [16] E. Okon and D. Sudarsky, "The Consistent Histories Formalism and the Measurement Problem," Stud. Hist. Phil. Mod. Phys. 52 (2015) 217–222.
- [17] P. Pearle, "Combining Stochastic Dynamical State Vector Reduction With Spontaneous Localization," *Phys. Rev. A* **39** (1989) 2277-2289.
- [18] P. Pearle, "Reduction of the state vector by a nonlinear schrödinger equation," *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 13, pp. 857–868, 1976.
- [19] G. C. Ghirardi, A. Rimini and T. Weber, "Unified dynamics for microscopic and macroscopic systems," Phys. Rev. D 34 470-491 (1986).

- [20] R. Tumulka, "A relativistic version of the Ghirardi-Rimini-Weber model," J. Stat. Phys. **125**, 821 (2006) 10; R. Tumulka, "On spontaneous wave function collapse and quantum field theory," Proc. R. Soc. A **462**,1897 (2006).
- [21] D. J. Bedingham, "Relativistic state reduction model," J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 306, 012034 (2011); "Relativistic state reduction dynamics," Found. Phys. 41, 686 (2011).
- [22] P. Pearle, "Relativistic dynamical collapse model," Phys. Rev. D 91 (2015) 10, 105012.
- [23] E. Okon and D. Sudarsky, "The Black Hole Information Paradox and the Collapse of the Wave Function," Found. Phys. (2015) 45:461-470.
- [24] P. M. Pearle, and E. Squires Gravity, energy conservation and parameter values in collapse models *Found.Phys.*26 (1996) 291 e-Print: quant-ph/9503019, "Bound state excitation, nucleon decay experiments, and models of wave function collapse ", *Phys. Rev. Lett.*73 (1994) 1.
- [25] P. Cañate, P. Pearl, and D. Sudarsky, "CSL Quantum Origin of the Primordial Fluctuation", *Physics Review D*, 87, 104024 (2013); e-Print: arXiv:1211.3463[gr-qc]
- [26] S. K. Modak, L. Ortíz, I. Peña and D. Sudarsky, "Non-Paradoxical Loss of Information in Black Hole Evaporation in a Quantum Collapse Model," Physical Review D, 91, 124009 (2015). "Loss of Information in Black hole evaporation with no paradox"; General Relativity and Gravitation 47, 120 (2015).
- [27] D. Bedingham, S. K. Modak, and D. Sudarsky"Relativistic collapse dynamics and black hole information loss" *Physics Review D*, **94** no.4, 045009 (2016); e-Print: arXiv:1604.06537 [gr-qc].
- [28] D. Bedingham, D. Dürr, G. Ghirardi, S. Goldstein, R. Tumulka and N. Zanghi, "Matter Density and Relativistic Models of Wave Function Collapse," J Stat Phys (2014) 154:623–631.
- [29] D. Z. Albert, "The foundations of quantum mechanics and the approach to thermodynamic equilibrium," British Journal for the Philosophy of Science 45 (2):669-677 (1994).

[30] C. Callender, "Thermodynamic Asymmetry in Time," The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy (Fall 2011 Edition), Edward N. Zalta (ed.).